**Contracts & Procurement**

**VENDOR CLIENT PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

**DCAM-20-CS-RFP-0024**

**Construction Manager Services**

**Name of Offeror/Bidder: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PERFORMANCE****ELEMENT** | **EXCELLENT\*** | **GOOD** | **ACCEPTABLE** | **POOR** | **UNACCEPTABLE\*\*** |
| **Quality of Service/Work** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Timeliness of Performance** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Cost Control Measures** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Business Relations** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Customer Satisfaction** |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Name of Evaluating Organization: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. Name and Title of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
3. Evaluator Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
4. Evaluator e-mail address: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
5. Evaluator Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
6. Evaluator Supervisor Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
7. Supervisor Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
8. Supervisor e-mail address: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
9. Description of Services Provided \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

by Offeror: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Contract No.: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**Contract Value $**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. Contract Period of Performance: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

*\*Evaluator must provide remarks for all “Excellent Performance” ratings – Continue on a separate sheet.*

*\*\*Evaluators must provide remarks for all “Unacceptable Performance” ratings – Continue on a separate sheet.*

PLEASE SUBMIT COMPLETED EVALUATIONS TO james.marshall@dc.gov

**RATING GUIDELINES**

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions as guidance in making these evaluations.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Quality Product/Services** | **Cost Control** | **Timeless of Performance** | **Business Relations** |
| -Compliance with contract requirements | -Within budget (over/under target costs) | -Meet Interim milestones-Reliable | -Effective management-Businesslike correspondence |
| -Accuracy of reports-Appropriateness of personnel | -Current, accurate, andcomplete billings | -Responsive to technicaldirections | -Responsive to contractrequirements |
| -Technical excellence | -Relationship of negatedcosts to actual-Cost efficiencies-Change order issue | -Completed on time, including wrap-up and-contract administration | -Prompt notification of contract problems-Reasonable/cooperative |
|  |  | -No liquidated damagesassessed | -Flexible-Pro-active-effective contractor recommended solutions |
|  |  |  | -Effective snail/small disadvantaged businessSubcontracting program |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **0. Zero** | Nonconformances are comprises the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources | Cost issues are comprising performance of contract requirements. | Delays are comprisingthe achievement of contract requirements, Despite use of Agency resources | Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is not effective and responsive. |
| **1. Unacceptable** | Nonconformances require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Cost issues require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Delays require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is marginally effective and responsive. |
| **2. Poor** | Nonconformances require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Costs issues require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Delays require minorAgency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is somewhat effective and requirements. |
| **3. Acceptable** | Nonconformances do not impact achievement of contract requirements. | Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements. | Delays do not impact achievement of contract requirements. | Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive. |
| **4. Good** | There are no quality problems. | There are no cost issues. | There are not delays. | Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is effective and responsive. |
| **5. Excellent** | This Contractor has demonstrated an exception performance level in some or all of the above categories. |