

DCAM-22-CS-RFP-0005

DESIGN BUILD SERVICES
ADA Upgrades for 4 DPR Facilities (Benneker, Kalorama, Mitchell Park, and Rose Park)

PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM
(Check appropriate box)

OFFEROR _____

| Performance Elements | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Poor | Unacceptable |
|---------------------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--------------|
| Quality of Services/ Work | | | | | |
| Timeliness of Performance | | | | | |
| Cost Control | | | | | |
| Business Relations | | | | | |
| Customer Satisfaction | | | | | |

1. Name and Title of Evaluator: _____
2. Signature of Evaluator: _____
3. Name of Organization: _____
4. Telephone Number of Evaluator: _____
- E-mail address of Evaluator: _____
5. State type of service received: _____
6. State Contract Number, Amount and Period of Performance _____
7. Remarks on Excellent Performance: Provide data supporting this observation. Continue on separate sheet if needed)
8. Remarks on unacceptable performance: Provide data supporting this observation. (Continue on separate sheet if needed)

RATING GUIDELINES

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions for guidance in making these evaluations.

| | Quality Product/Service | Cost Control | Timeless of Performance | Business Relations |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Compliance with contract requirements -Accuracy of reports -Appropriateness of personnel -Technical excellence | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Within budget (over/ under target costs) -Current, accurate, and complete billings -Relationship of negated costs to actual -Cost efficiencies -Change order issue | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Meet Interim milestones -Reliable -Responsive to technical directions -Completed on time, including wrap-up and contract administration -No liquidated damages assessed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Effective management -Businesslike correspondence -Responsive to contract requirements -Prompt notification of contract problems -Reasonable/cooperative -Flexible -Pro-active -effective contractor recommended solutions -Effective snail/small disadvantaged business Subcontracting program |
| 0. Zero | Nonconformances are comprises the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources | Cost issues are comprising performance of contract requirements. | Delays are comprising the achievement of contract requirements, Despite use of Agency resources. | Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is not effective and responsive. |
| 1. Unacceptable | Nonconformances require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Cost issues require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Delays require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is marginally effective and responsive. |
| 2. Poor | Nonconformances require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Costs issues require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive. |
| 3. Acceptable | Nonconformances do not impact achievement of contract requirements. | Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements. | Delays do not impact achievement of contract requirements. | Responses to inquires, technical/ service/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive. |
| 4. Good | There are no quality problems. | There are no cost issues. | There are not delays. | Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is effective and responsive, |
| 5. Excellent | The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in some or all of the above categories. | | | |