

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES



SOLICITATION DCAM-20-CS-RFP-0024

CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES

AMENDMENT NO. 5

Amendment Number 5 is hereby issued and posted on the Department's web site at dgs.dc.gov August 12, 2020. Except as otherwise noted, all other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain unchanged.

1. F.1 TERM OF CONTRACT

Delete: In its entirety
Insert: The term of the awarded contracts will be for a base year period of one (1) year from date of execution by the CO, as specified on the cover page of the contract.

2. L.1.1 Most Advantageous to the District

Delete: In its entirety
Insert: The Department intends to award multiple contracts resulting from this solicitation to the responsive and responsible offerors whose offers conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the District, cost or price, technical and other factors, specified elsewhere in this solicitation considered.

3. L.4.2 Date and Time for Receiving Submissions

Delete: In its entirety.
Insert: Submissions shall be sent electronically as described in Section L.2.2 no later than **2:00 P.M. on August 27, 2020**. The Offeror assumes the sole responsibility for timely submission of its proposal.

4. L.5 EXPLANATION TO PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS

Responses to a portion of questions received about the solicitation are provided as Attachment A to Amendment 5.

5. L.18 PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

A list of the participants of the Pre-proposal Conference is provided as Attachment B to Amendment 5.

6. M.1 EVALUATION FOR AWARD, first sentence

Delete: In its entirety

Insert: The Department anticipates multiple awards to responsible offerors based upon the evaluation criteria specified below.

7. M.4 EVALUATION OF OPTION YEARS

Delete: In its entirety

Insert: The District will evaluate offers for award purposes by evaluating the total price for the base year and all options years. Evaluation of options shall not obligate the District to exercise them.



Franklin Austin
Contracting Officer

August 12, 2020
Date

End of Amendment No. 5

Attachment A

DCAM-20-CS-RFP-0024 Construction Management Services**Responses to Questions About the RFP****Amendment 5 Attachment A**

No.	Solicitation Reference	Question	Response
1	A	Page 1 of the RFP is the Solicitation/Offer/Award document. Do you require this document as part of our proposal and if so, into which volume should we insert it (as section 15 requests pricing info)?	Please see Amendment 1, Item No. 5.
2	B.1, B.2, C.1, F.1.1, L.1.1 and M.1	How many contracts will be awarded? Sections B1, B2, C1 and others in the RFP, note 'multiple', but F1, L.1.1, M1 and others note a single contract will be awarded.	Please see Amendment 5, Items No. 1, 2 and 6.
3	B.2	Page 2: B.2. Contract Type The Department contemplates award of multiple indefinite delivery indefinite quantity contracts based on fixed unit prices with a cost reimbursement component. Page 50: 1.1.1 Most Advantageous to the District The Department intends to award a single contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsive and responsible offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the District, cost or price, technical and other factors, specified elsewhere in this solicitation considered. How many contracts does the Department plan to award?	Please see Amendment 1, Item No. 4.
	B.4	On the Pricing Schedule B.4, it states that "With the exception of a Not to Exceed Amount of \$25,000 for approved Reimbursables, the Price Per Unit represents the Contractor's sole compensation for work performed pursuant to the Scope of Work (Section C) and the Ordering Clause (Section G.10). As such the Contractor shall ensure that the Price Per Unit is a fully loaded rate inclusive of all costs to deliver the required services." However, the amount of \$25,000 for reimbursables appears above the total line on the worksheet. Are you requesting that we add \$25,000.00 into our total, or it assumed that it would be in addition to the numbers we include in our price per unit?	The Allowance should be added to each year of the Offeror's pricing.
4	G.10	When is notice to proceed?	The Department will issue Task Orders to serve as the Contractor's Notice to Proceed.
5	H.9.1.1	Section H.9.1.1 states that 'For all contracts in excess of \$250,000, at least 50% of the dollar volume of the contract shall be subcontracted to qualified small business enterprises (SBEs).' Is the \$250,000 threshold with 50% per individual task order, or is it for the overall combination of all tasks? If it is by volume of the overall tasks, please explain how this will work within the confines of an IDIQ contract, as the type and amount of work is unknown.	Please see Section H.12 of the RFP.
6	H.9.3	Section H.9.3 requires copies of the fully executed subcontracts within 21 days of date of award. Is this requirement for the overall contract or for each task? If for the overall contract, how does DGS want the contracts provided without particular tasks identified?	Please see Section H.12 of the RFP.

No.	Solicitation Reference	Question	Response
7	I.13	Insurance requirements are much higher than for the current CMIDIQ, and there is language that states that the District will consider reduced requirements for CBE sub-contractors at the task order level. The new requirements will be very costly for CBEs and in some cases difficult to acquire. Will the District consider reduced insurance requirements for CBE primes and sub-contractors? a. Umbrella – reduce from \$10M – to \$5M; b. Cyber Liability – Reduce from \$2M aggregate and per claim to \$1M aggregate and per claim; and c. Employment Practices, Professional Liability, and Sexual Abuse – reduce limits to \$1M.	The District's Office of Risk Management (ORM) has determined insurance coverage requirements based on the largest exposures. The limits have been determined acceptable because they need to apply to all size contracts – from small to large – with varying exposures.
8	I.13	Section I.13 of the RFP notes the insurance requirements. We ask that you please confirm these requirements as they have increased significantly from prior solicitations and seem more in line with what a General Contracting firm may provide. Specifically we ask that you confirm the requirement for \$4 million annual aggregate on Professional Liability and \$10 million Umbrella/Excess Liability requirement.	See response to Question 7.
9	I.13.7	1.13.7 Employment Practices Liability : Is it possible to have this covered under Commercial General Liability?	This would present a problem in terms of compliance. Combining EPL with General Liability would be deficient. Most often the CGL carrier provides a sub-limit of \$100K, etc. Also, limits cannot not be shared either.
10	I.13.8	1.13.8 Professional Liability Insurance (errors and Omissions): Historically, these coverages were \$ 1,000,000 Each Claim and \$ 2,000,000 in Aggregate. The Proposal is requesting rates to be increased to 2,000,000 Each and \$4,000,000 in Aggregate. Is it possible to reduce these rates back to the historical requirement?	No. See response to Question 7.
11	I.13.10	14.13.10 Commercial Umbrella or Excessive Liability: Historically these coverages were \$ 3,000,000 Each Claim and \$ 3,000,000 in Aggregate. The Proposal is requesting \$ 10,000,000 each Claim and \$ 10,000,000 in Aggregate. Question: Is it possible for DGS to reduce these rates back to the historical requirement?	No. See response to Question 7.
12	J.4	Attachment J.4, Subcontracting Plan: Without assigned tasks, how would DGS like us to develop the plan?	See Section H.12 of the RFP.
13	H.12	Since this is an actual solicitation for the IDIQ contract and not an actual task order, can the subcontracting plan be submitted per the issuance of a task order vs the actual submission of the proposal as Section B.5 can be seen as contradictory.	Yes. See Section H.12 of the RFP.
14	H.12	If I am a CBE bidding this contract through a teaming agreement (with a SB), are we required to sub 50% or the project to CBEs/SB?	See Section H.12 of the RFP.
15	L.2	Is there a specific time frame to submit or is it any time from when the doors open to before 2pm?	Please see Amendment 2, Items No. 1 and 2.
16	L.2	Section L.2 of the RFP indicates how we should structure our response. However it is silent on two of the attachments, J.4 (Sub Plan) and J.5 (1 st Source). Can you advise into which volume we should include these completed forms?	See Section H.12 of the RFP.

No.	Solicitation Reference	Question	Response
17	L.2	Section L.2 of the RFP notes the submission requirements for our proposal. Can you confirm that under L.2.2 you require a combined 'Technical and Pricing Proposal' as the "complete original proposal" as one document? Typically Technical and Pricing submissions are submitted separately and want to be sure we understand how our original hard copy and electronic copy is to be submitted.	Please see Amendment 2, Items No. 1 and 2.
18	L.2.1	Will the District consider an electronic submission mechanism?	Yes. Please see Amendment 2, Items No. 1 and 2.
19	L.2.2	Section L.2.2, Submission Identification – Amendment 1 includes language for hardcopies of the proposal to be printed, bound and delivered to DGS. Could you consider accepting only digital copies of the proposal due to the pandemic?	Yes. Please see Amendment 2, Items No. 1 and 2.
20	L.2.2	Section L.2.2, Submission Identification: In light of COVID-19 safety precautions and reduced resources, would DGS consider changing this to an electronic submission only?	Yes. Please see Amendment 2, Items No. 1 and 2.
21	L.2.2	USB or flash drive requirement – should the technical and cost proposal be provided on a single USB or each on a separate USB?	Please see Amendment 2, Items No. 1 and 2.
22	L.2.2a	a. Please confirm that you would like the following electronic copies with our submission: i. One (1) copy of the complete original proposal, including one technical and one price, in sealed envelope with the printed original proposal; ii. One (1) copy of a redacted version of the technical and price proposals per Section L.3	Please see Amendment 2, Items No. 1 and 2.
23	L.2.2.b	b. Should redacted copy be placed on same USB that will be in sealed envelope, or shall it be on a separate USB?	Please see Amendment 2, Item No. 1 and 2.
24	L.2.3.1.1	All three PPQ's that we would like to submit are for DGS projects. Are we still required to submit PPQ's even though the projects to be submitted on were for DGS?	Yes.
25	L.2.3.1.1	Can the projects we showcase be DC DGS projects?	It is the offeror's decision which projects to showcase in response to this solicitation.
26	L.2.3.1.1	In order to accurately show depth of resources can an 11x17 be used for the Organizational Chart?	Yes.
29	L.2.3.1.2	Can the personal reference letters be submitted directly to DC DGS in lieu of being included in the proposal?	No.
27	L.2.3.1.2	The proposal evaluation for staff specifically speaks to the ten (10) Key Personnel, which require resumes. Please confirm that resumes are not required for the Additional Personnel since they are not considered in the proposal evaluation.	Confirmed.
28	L.2.3.1.3	Can the reference letter come from DC DGS?	It is the offeror's decision which reference letters to submit in response to this solicitation.
29	L.2.3.1.3	Can you confirm if resumes for individuals on the existing contract DCAM-17-NC-0015G contract can be used for this proposal?	Confirmed.
30	L.4.1	Please confirm that there is no requirement for submission of a CPM Schedule	Please see Amendment 1, Item No. 5.
31	L.5	As all written questions are required by 8/5, when should we expect formal responses from DGS?	Responses to questions received about the solicitation will be provided in a timely manner.

No.	Solicitation Reference	Question	Response
32	L.5	Given the number of questions you have already received in addition to those posed today, would it be possible to extend the submission deadline date by a week (8/27)? We want to ensure that we have ample time to complete our proposal based on your responses. Additional time for the level of effort required to make any adjustments will be important.	Yes. Please see Amendment No. 5, Item No. 3.
33	L.5	Would you please consider extending the submission of questions until Close of Business (COB) August 12, 2020.	No. Amendment 4 established the deadline for questions as August 10, 2020.
34	M.4	Section M.4, Evaluation of Option Years, mentions evaluation of the add alternate pricing, yet we didn't see add alternate mentioned elsewhere in the RFP. Can you provide additional information on the add alternate?	Please see Amendment No. 5, Item No. 7.
35	M.5	If the prime contractor is none SBE/CBE, does the point for CBE subcontractor will be considered to word the prime contractor during the evaluation?	No. Preference Points are awarded based on the status of the prime contractor as determined by the Department of Small Local Business Development.
36	Misc.	Are the rates for the current IDIQ for CM advertised anywhere?	Each potential Offeror should submit their prices/rates based on their understanding of the required services and the need of the Department and not based on previously provided rates. The current agreements are however posted on the DGS web site at https://dgs.dc.gov/external-link/awarded-contracts The contacts numbers are DCAM-15-CS-0097A, DCAM-15-CS-0097B, DCAM-17-CS-0097C...DCAM-15-CS-0097L.
37	Misc.	Can a Prime also be a sub on another team?	Yes.
38	Misc.	Please provide the list of potential bidders with their contact information.	Please see Amendment 5, Item No. 5 and Attachment B.
39	Misc.	If an awarded firm is a subcontractor on this DC DGS CM Services contract are they precluded from performing any of the design work on the same project?	Yes. In order to prevent ant conflict of interest DGS will not assign CM responsibilities if the contractor performed any of the design work.
40	Misc.	What is your MB limit for email attachments to ensure submittal is successfully sent? If the file is too large, can we submit through our company file transfer system, or is there a specific one you prefer?	35MB. If file exceeds 35MB, please separate into 2 files clearly labeled.
41	Misc.	Will AE sub-consultants on the design team for DGS projects be precluded from responding to the IDIQ CM RFP?	No. In order to prevent any conflict of interest DGS will not assign CM responsibilities if the contractor performed any of the design work.
42	Misc.	Does DGS require copies of CBE certificates, or is the CBE Certification # on the Subcontracting Plan sufficient?	Not required.
43	Misc.	Does a JV registration need to be complete and accepted by DGS prior to submission? Or can it still be pending at time of submission?	A joint venture is required to be approved by DSLBD at the time of submission.
44	Misc.	When is the anticipated award date?	The Department intends to award contracts expeditiously upon completion of the evaluation and selection of proposals received.
45	Misc.	I was wondering if there was an incumbent for this RFP and if you could share who that was?	Please see the response to Question 36.

Attachment B

DCAM-20-CS-RFP-0024**Pre-proposal Conference Attendees****Amendment 5 Attachment B****Potential Offeror**

AECOM
AECOM
AMT Engineering
ARCADIS
Atel Consulting
BD Connect
BD Connect
CHAISE GROUP LLC
CHAISE GROUP LLC
Cinnovas Development Group, LLC
Cornerstone Concilium
Cornerstone Concilium
Cube Root, Inc.
Cube Root, Inc.
Delon Hampton
Delon Hampton
DGS Capital Construction
DGS Contracts and Procurement
DMY Capitol
EXP
GCS-SIGAL
Jacobs Project Management Co.
Jacobs Project Management Co.
Janey
Janey
JDC Construction Company, LLC
JDC Construction Company, LLC
JLL
JLL
KCI
Kramer
Kumi
Kumi
McKissack & McKissack
McKissack & McKissack
MGAC
O'Connor Construction
Odyssey Construction
Odyssey Construction
Potomac Restoration, Inc.
Procon Consulting
Procon Consulting

Email Address

Velasquez, Sarah <sarah.velasquez@aecom.com>
Cherifi, Said <Said.Cherifi@aecom.com>
Huchrowski, John <jhuchrowski@amtengineering.com>
Emara, Sam <Hosam.Emara@arcadis.com>
alegesse@atelconsultinggroup.com
Sanath Kalidas <skalidas@Bdconnect.com>
Burt Jackson <bjackson@Bdconnect.com>
Jay J <jjenkinsgsa@gmail.com>
Patrick LaCour <placour@chaisegroupllc.com>
Myles Clark <myles.clark@cinnovas.com>
mpoydras@cornerstoneconcilium.com
jwang@cornerstoneconcilium.com
Kathryn Petrie <kpetrie@cuberootinc.com>
Daniel Davies <ddavies@cuberootinc.com>
James Gittens <jgittens@delonhampton.com>
Colisa Harris <charris@delonhampton.com>
Al-Alami, Allam (DGS) <Allam.Al-Alami@dc.gov>
james.marshall@dc.gov
David Arwood <darwood@dmycapitol.com>
Mahboud Nobakht <Mahboud.Nobakht@exp.com>
Michael Sigal <msigal@gcs-sigal.com>
Shoemaker, Katherine <Katherine.Shoemaker@jacobs.com>
Fox, Gary (Arlington) <Gary.Fox@jacobs.com>
Simba Sana <ssana@janeycodc.com>
Simba Sana <ssana@janeycodc.com>
Shawn Samuel <ssamuel@jdc-mgmt.com>
Mark Cager <mcager@jdc-mgmt.com>
Sitnik, Renee <Renee.Sitnik@am.jll.com>
Owens, Stephen <Stephen.Owens@am.jll.com>
Valerie Robinson <Valerie.Robinson@kci.com>
Des Burke <dburke@kramercdc.com>
Charles Kumi <ckumi@kumicm.com>
Kiana Smith <ksmith@kumicm.com>
Julie Lehman <Julie.Lehman@mckinc.com>
Michael Carter <Michael.Carter@mckinc.com>
Brandey McDonald <bmcDonald@mgac.com>
Melissa Blum <mblum@ocmi.com>
Monica Evans <monica@odysseyconstructiondc.com>
John Taylor <jtaylor@imecgrouppllc.com>
Sidney Butler Potomac Email <sid@potomac-inc.com>
Hilary Ketterson <hketterson@proconconsulting.com>
Ryan Farrar <rfarrar@proconconsulting.com>

PROMATEC, Inc.
PROMATEC, Inc.
RKK
RKK
TCT Cost Consultants

Matthew Frantz <mfrantz@promatechinc.com>
Carrie Strehle
Keith Foxx <kfoxx@rkk.com>
Vance Tsiamis <vtsiamis@rkk.com>
Nina Manguiri <NManguiri@tctcost.com>