PRESENTERS

• Brent Sisco
  Capital Projects Officer
  Capital Projects, Planning and Design
  DC Department of Parks & Recreation

• David Wooden
  Landscape Architect
  Capital Projects, Planning and Design
  DC Department of Parks & Recreation

• Joan Honeyman
  Founding Partner
  Jordan Honeyman Landscape Architecture, LLC

• Erik Sejas
  Spanish Language Interpreter
MEETING AGENDA

• Welcome / Rules of the Road
• Project Recap
• Survey Results & Summary of Comments on Three Concepts
• Schematic Design Review
• Milestone Schedule & Next Steps
• Contact Information
• Please keep your microphone on mute during the presentation.
• Please use the chat feature to ask questions or provide comments which will be read at the end of the presentation.
• The chat function is located at the bottom of your screen and looks like a “thought” cloud symbol. Please be sure to submit your question / comment to “Everyone” so the design team can see them and for documentation purposes.
• We’ll do the best we can to answer all questions, but if your question is not answered, DPR is tracking all questions and will create a Q/A document that will be shared after the meeting.
• Project Recap
  • Project Budget: $1.6M
  • March 28, 2019 – Intro community meeting at Mt. Pleasant Library
  • March 18-May 31, 2019 – Community Survey
  • Summer 2019-Spring 2020 – Competitive Design Solicitation and Contract Award
  • May 21, 2020 – Virtual Community Kickoff Meeting
  • July 16, 2020 – Virtual Community Meeting – Review 3 Design Concepts

• Since July Meeting
  • Comments on three concepts guided development of the Schematic Design
  • Schematic Design cost estimate. Design is within budget.
  • Preliminary Approval from DDOT, Urban Forestry, DC Water
  • Preliminary submission to CFA, CFA Meeting Nov. 19
PROJECT RECAP
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DESIGN OPPORTUNITIES
SURVEY ANALYSIS

306 Total Responses
• Not everyone answered every question, some provided feedback via email

• How close is your residence to the parcel at 19th and Lamont?

- I live at 1900 Lamont 18
- Less than ½ mile 213
- 1 mile or less 23
- Between 1-2 mile 4
- Over 2 miles 7
SURVEY ANALYSIS

We asked what are you favorite and least favorite concepts and why?

• Concept A: Front Lawn and Garden
• Concept B: Organic Terraces
• Concept C: Hill slide

Common to all concepts:

• Perimeter fence types and location
• Lighting
• ADA accessibility at upper area only
• SWM and erosion control techniques
• Preservation of existing stairs with new handrails
• Open space/seating
SURVEY ANALYSIS

A. Front Lawn and Garden

This concept uses a rich layer of planting (including native perennials, shrubs, and trees) to organize the space and control erosion and stormwater. The upper hill contains a natural play area for children adjoining an ADA accessible seating area. An open lawn in the center of the park provides space for organized activities such as group exercise or community gathering. The central area can facilitate a short sled run. The lower hill provides a quiet seating area and passive recreation away from the other active spaces.

Comments:

• Nice diversity of areas and modes of engagement.
• I like that this preserves as much natural landscape as possible and maintains a lot of open space.
• Small amount of space for children.
• Concerned about keeping noise down.
SURVEY ANALYSIS

B. Organic Terraces

This concept uses a series of low stone walls and stone borders referencing the stone typologies of neighboring Rock Creek Park to organize the space and manage stormwater and erosion. Similar to Concept A, the upper hill contains a natural play area for children with the addition of small climbing mounds and an adjoining ADA accessible seating area. An open lawn in the center of the park provides space for organized activities such as group exercise or community activities. The lower hill provides a quiet seating area away from the other active spaces.

Comments:

• I like that this concept feels more open and like there is lots of space for dogs and kids to run around.
• The rock scrambles are the most enticing feature.
• Too many rocks!
• Concerns about no clear boundaries around the park between the alley/street.
C. Hill Slide

Planting strategy similar to Concept A to organize the space and control erosion and stormwater. A playful hill slide connects the natural play area to the open lawn in the center of the park. The lower hill provides a quiet seating area away from the other active spaces. A metal fence lines the park edge along the sidewalk by the alley at the bottom of the hill and a new wall separates the parcel from the alley.

Comments:

• Good organic space which is more fitting for the small uniquely shaped space.

• The hill slide is a brilliant idea that would be truly bold and unique.

• Dislike the slide and the trajectory of the slide, which will unfortunately greatly reinforce the trend to create activity and ongoing gatherings immediately in front of the building door.

• Love the slide and mix of play spaces.

• I like this concept the most because it combines A and B nicely – creating three distinct recreational spaces while maintaining a more open feel for the space.
SURVEY ANALYSIS

Overall, what is your FAVORITE concept?

A. Front Lawn & Garden
B. Organic Terraces
C. Hill Slide

Votes:
A: 118
B: 83
C: 122
SURVEY ANALYSIS

Overall, what is your LEAST FAVORITE concept?

A | Front Lawn & Garden: 61
B | Organic Terraces: 114
C | Hill Slide: 102
View Through Playground From 19th and Lamont Intersection
Low perimeter fence, maximize play value while protecting trees
Natural Play Area
Ground level play activities, wood and stone material palette, concrete slide
Lawn Area and Embankment Slide
Separate active play, multi-purpose lawn
Seating Area
Small contemplative space, wood decking to protect tree
View from Alley
Terrace walls, lush planting
Overview
Three distinct spaces, extensive planted areas
NATURAL PLAY AREA

BENCH SWING

INTERACTIVE SPLIT LOG TABLE W/ SEATS AND ADA HEIGHT PORTION
NATURAL PLAY AREA

STUMPS AND BOULDERS

PLAY HOUSE
NATURAL PLAY AREA

HILL SLIDE (CONCRETE)

PLAY MOUND
Site furnishings

Seating

Trash/recycling

Water fountain
Fencing

Metal Picket Fence
42” height
DPR Standard-Three rail

Plant bed barrier fencing
Pedestrian scale lighting

- Two 12’ poles to replace existing
- ‘Arbor’ Post Top
Planting

- Native and adapted
- Pollinator friendly
- Low maintenance
- Small plant material near existing trees
- Mulch at Red Oak tree
- Reduce turf grass
- Working with Urban Forestry and consulting arborist on techniques for planting near trees
MILESTONE SCHEDULE

- Concept Design Phase: May-June 2020
- Community Meeting to Review Concept Designs (3): Early July 2020
- Schematic Design Phase: July –Aug 2020
- Community Meeting to Review Schematic Design: October 2020
- Design Development, Construction Documents & Permitting: Late Fall 2020/Winter 2021
- Construction Kickoff Community Meeting: Spring 2021
- Construction Completion: Fall 2021
POINTS OF CONTACT

David Wooden
Landscape Architect
DC Department of Parks and Recreation
david.wooden1@dc.gov
202.285.4030

Wayne Gore
Community Outreach Coordinator - External Affairs
DC Department of General Services
wayne.gore@dc.gov
202.717.4228

Project Website:  www.dgs.dc.gov/page/19th-and-lamont