

Offeror Name: _____

Performance Element (See Description p. 2-3)	Excellent*	Good	Acceptable	Poor	Unacceptable**
Quality of Services/					
Work					
Timeliness of					
Performance					
Cost					
Control					
Business					
Relations					
Customer					
Satisfaction					

*Remarks on Excellent Performance: Provide data supporting this observation. (Continue on separate sheet if needed) ** Remarks on Unacceptable Performance: Provide data supporting this observation. (Continue on separate sheet if needed)

1.	Name of Evaluating Organization:	
2.	Name & Title of Evaluator:	
3.	Telephone Number of Evaluator:	
4.	E-mail address of Evaluator:	
5.	Signature of Evaluator:	Date:
6.	Describe type of service received:	
7.	Contract Number	Contract Amount
8.	Contract Period of Performance	

Government of the District of Columbia Department of General Services | dgs.dc.gov 3924 Minnesota Avenue, NE, Washington DC, 20019



Page 2 of 3

RATING GUIDELINES

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Zero), 1 (Unacceptable), 2 (Poor), 3 (Acceptable), 4 (Good), 5 (Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions as guidance in making these evaluations.

Quality Product/Service	Cost Control	Timeliness of Performance	Business Relations
Compliance with contract requirements	Within budget (over/under target costs)	Meet interim milestones	Effective management
Accuracy of reports	Current, accurate, and complete billings.	Reliable	Business-like correspondence
Appropriateness of personnel	Relationship of negated costs to actual	Responsive to technical directions	Responsive to contract requirements
Technical Excellence	Cost efficiencies	Completed on time, including wrap-up	Prompt notification of contract problems
	Change order issues	Contract Administration	Reasonable/cooperative
		No liquidated damages assessed	Flexible
			Pro-active
			Effective communicator; recommended solutions
			Effective small disadvantage business subcontracting program

	Quality Product/Service	Cost Control	Timeliness of Performance	Business Relations
0-Zero	Nonconformance is compromising the	Cost issues are compromising	Delays are compromising the	Response to inquiries, technical/services/
	achievement of contract requirements, despite use of agency resources	performance of contract requirements	achievement of contract requirements, despite use of agency resources	administrative issues is not effective and responsive.
1 -Unacceptable	Nonconformance require major agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements	Cost issues require major agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements	Delays require major agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements	Response to inquiries, technical/services/ administrative issues is marginally effective and responsive.
2 – Poor	Nonconformance require minor agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Cost issues require minor agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements	Delays require minor agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements	Response to inquiries, technical/services/ administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive.
3 – Acceptable	Nonconformances do not impact achievement of contract requirements	Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements	Delays do not impact achievement of contract requirements	Response to inquiries, technical/services/ administrative issues is usually effective and responsive.

Page **3** of **3**

	Quality Product/Service	Cost Control	Timeliness of Performance	Business Relations
4 - Good	There are no quality problems	There are no cost issues	There are no delays	Response to inquiries, technical/services/ administrative issues is effective and responsive.
5 - Excellent	The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in some or all of the above categories.			