

**PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM
DCAM-21-CS-RFP-0006
Fort Greble Urban Nature Center RFP**

Past performance evaluations are to be submitted with the bidder's proposal submission.
(Check appropriate box)

OFFEROR _____

Performance Elements	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Poor	Unacceptable
Quality of Services/ Work					
Timeliness of Performance					
Cost Control					
Business Relations					
Customer Satisfaction					

1. Name and Title of Evaluator: _____
2. Signature of Evaluator: _____
3. Name of Organization: _____
4. Telephone Number of Evaluator: _____
- E-mail address of Evaluator: _____
5. State type of service received: _____
6. State Contract Number, Amount and Period of Performance _____
- _____
7. Remarks on Excellent Performance: Provide data supporting this observation. Continue on separate sheet if needed)
8. Remarks on unacceptable performance: Provide data supporting this observation. (Continue on separate sheet if needed)

Past performance evaluations are to be submitted with the bidder's proposal submission.

RATING GUIDELINES

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions a guidance in making these evaluations.

Quality Product/Service	Cost Control	Timeless of Performance	Business Relations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Compliance with contract requirements -Accuracy of reports -Appropriateness of personnel -Technical excellence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Within budget (over/ under target costs) -Current, accurate, and complete billings -Relationship of negated costs to actual -Cost efficiencies -Change order issue 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Meet Interim milestones -Reliable -Responsive to technical directions -Completed on time, including wrap-up and contract administration -No liquidated damages assessed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Effective management -Businesslike correspondence -Responsive to contract requirements -Prompt notification of contract problems -Reasonable/cooperative -Flexible -Pro-active -effective contractor recommended solutions -Effective snail/small disadvantaged business Subcontracting program

0. Zero	Nonconformance's are comprises the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources	Cost issues are comprising performance of contract requirements.	Delays are comprising the achievement of contract requirements, Despite use of Agency resources.	Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is not effective and responsive.
1. Unacceptable	Nonconformance's require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Cost issues require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Delays require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is marginally effective and responsive.
2. Poor	Nonconformance's require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Costs issues require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive.
3. Acceptable	Nonconformance's do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Delays do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Responses to inquires, technical/service/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive.
4. Good	There are no quality problems.	There are no cost issues.	There are not delays.	Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is effective and responsive,
5. Excellent	The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in some or all of the above categories			