

PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

Offeror Name: _____

| Performance Element (See Description p. 2) | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Poor | Unacceptable** | | |
|--|-----------|------|------------|------|----------------|--|--|
| Quality of Services/ Work | | | | | | | |
| Timeliness of Performance | | | | | | | |
| Cost Control | | | | | | | |
| Business Relations | | | | | | | |
| Customer | | | | | | | |
| Satisfaction | | | | | | | |
| 1. Name of Evaluating Organization: | | | | | | | |
| 2. Name & Title of Evaluator: | | | | | | | |
| 3. Telephone Number of Evaluator: | | | | | | | |
| 4. E-mail address of Evaluator: | | | | | | | |
| 5. Signature of Evalu | Date: _ | | | | | | |
| 6. Describe type of service received: | | | | | | | |

- 7. Contract Number _____ Contract Amount _____
- 8. Contract Period of Performance

*Remarks on Excellent Performance: Provide data supporting this observation. (Continue on separate sheet if needed)

** Remarks on Unacceptable Performance: Provide data supporting this observation. (Continue on separate sheet if needed)

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions as guidance in making these evaluations.

| | Quality Product/Service | Cost Control | Timeless of Performance | Business Relations | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| -Accuracy of | -Compliance with ntract requirements reports -Current, accurate, and - requirements | -Within budget (over/ under target costs) Responsive to technical -Respor | -Reliable -Busine | -Effective management esslike correspondence of complete billings | | |
| | personnel -Technical excellence | -Relationship of nega costs to actual -Cost efficiencies -Change order issue | ated -Completed on time, including wrap-up and -contract administration -No liquidated damages assessed | -Prompt notification of contract problems -Reasonable/cooperative -Flexible -Pro-active -effective contractor recommended solutions -Effective snail/small disadvantaged business Subcontracting program | | |
| 0. Zero | Nonconformances are compr the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources | performance of contra | act the achievement of contract | Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is ctive and responsive. | | |
| Unacceptable Nonconformances require major Cost issues require major Delays require major response to inquiries, technical/ Agency resources to ensure Agency resources to ensure Agency resources to ensure service/administrative issues is achievement of contract achievement of contract achievement of contract marginally effective and requirements. requirements. requirements. responsive. | | | | | | |
| 2. Poor Nonconformance require minor Costs issues require minor Delays require minor Responses to inquiries, technical/ Agency resources to ensure Agency resources to ensure Agency resources to ensure service/administrative issues is achievement of contract achievement of contract somewhat effective and requirements. requirements. | | | | | | |
| 3. Acceptable achieve require | Nonconformances do not imp ement of contract ments. | achievement of contract a | achievement of contract service/ | Responses to inquires, technical/ administrative issues is effective and responsive. | | |
| 4. Good There a | are no quality problems. | There are no cost issues. T | There are not delays. Respon service/administra effective and responsive, | ses to inquiries, technical/ tive issues is | | |
| | T 1 | | | | | |

5. Excellent The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in some or all of the above categories.