

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES



Addendum No. 06
To
Invitation for Bid (“IFB”) No. DCAM-20-CS-IFB-0004

MPD Blue Plains Impound Lot Modernization

Issued: July 9, 2020

This Addendum No. 06 is issued on July 9, 2020. Except as modified hereby, the Invitation for Bid (“IFB”) remains unmodified.

Item No. 01: Additional questions and answers are attached as **Exhibit 1.**

Item No. 02: The bids due date is hereby extended to **July 14, 2020 at 2:00 P.M. EST.**

Item No. 03: Section L.16 (of the IFB) - Bids Opening is hereby revised as follows:

As all bids shall be submitted electronically, the Department will hold a conference call in lieu of the Bids Opening on **July 14, 2020 at 2:30 p.m., EST** to read the bids prices.

Conference call

July 14, 2020 at 2:30 P.M.

Conference Call Number: **866-878-9842**

Participants Code: **5966404**

By: *Franklin Austin*
Franklin Austin, CPPB, CPM
Contracting Officer

Date: 7.9.2020

- End of Addendum No. 06

Exhibit 1
Additional Questions & Answers
(See following page)

Invitation for Bids (“IFB”) No. DCAM-20-CS-IFB-0004

Blue Plains Impound Lot Modernization

Additional Questions & Answers And Clarifications

RFI No.	Questions	Department Responses
64	<p>Security scope</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Addendum 3: In response to our RFI requesting drawings for the security scope, DGS said that OCTO would provide security and IT (RFI 52) b. Addendum 5: RFI 61 requests clarification for security infrastructure requirements. DGS says that the bidders are responsible for all conduit. c. We have no information on the security system designed for this site, nor a layout of conduits that we should provide but we are being directed to provide them. 	<p>AS001 indicates locations of, light poles with security cameras, keypads, and remote access control. A101 and A103 indicate the location of CCTV monitors. Also see C300, E002, E100, and E201 for additional information. All other cost will be covered in Security Allowance.</p>
65	<p>Car rack foundations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Addendum 3: RFI 56 asks for clarification on details to use for items like the car racks; DGS responded to use the structural details provided in the drawings. b. The structural drawings state that the car rack foundations are not yet designed and must be designed by an engineer. It also says to refer to the geotechnical report for specialty ground improvement scope. c. The geotechnical report states that the foundations are design build and does not provide adequate direction for the rack foundations (see 5.1.3 and 5.1.4). 	<p>Geotechnical report is contained at the front of the specification book. Car rack details generated by the structural engineer. Standard details would need to be reviewed and approved by the engineer of record during the submittal process. For the Racks see S204.</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">d. The specifications state that we cannot really use the geotechnical data provided (will have to provide our own geotechnical report) and that the Owner will not be held liable for the opinions expressed by the geotechnical engineer- see specification section 00 31 32, article 1.1.D.e. Page 6 of the IFB, Article B.4.2 states that we must provide an allowance as needed to complete the work stated.f. We do have not adequate direction on the full design of the car rack foundations and the geotechnical report appears to require a very significant amount of geotechnical repairs that cannot be quantified at this time. We are being asked to include this in our price and that is not possible.	
--	--	--