

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES



Addendum No. 2

To

Request for Proposal (“RFP”) No. DCAM-24-CS-RFP-0017

Design-Build Services

For

William H. Rumsey Aquatic Center Modernization

Issued: April 8, 2024

This Addendum No. 2 is issued on April 8, 2024. Except as modified herein, the RFP remains unchanged.

- Item No. 1. Revision to Part 1.3 Project Budget and Funding Limitation:**
The Department’s Allocated Budget has been adjusted from \$23,600,000.00 to \$21,200,000.00.
- Item No. 2. The Revised Attachment L:**
Past Performance Evaluation is enclosed with this addendum as Exhibit 1.
- Item No. 3. The Proposals Due Date:**
The Proposal due date is hereby extended from April 19, 2024, at 02:00 pm to April 30, 2024, at 02:00 pm.

By: Obaidullah Ranjbar
Obaidullah Ranjbar
Contracting Officer

Date: 4/8/2024

--End of Addendum 2--

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES**



EXHIBIT 1

Past Performance Evaluation Form

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES**



Solicitation Number: DCAM-24-CS-RFP-0017

**DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES
FOR
WILLIAM H. RUMSEY AQUATIC CENTER MODERNIZATION**

Offeror Name: _____

Performance Element	Excellent*	Good	Acceptable	Poor	Unacceptable**
Quality of Services/ Work					
Timeliness of Performance					
Cost Control					
Business Relations					
Customer Satisfaction					

1. Name of Evaluating Organization: _____
2. Name & Title of Evaluator: _____
3. Telephone Number of Evaluator: _____
4. E-mail address of Evaluator: _____
5. Signature of Evaluator: _____ Date: _____
6. Describe type of service received: _____
7. Contract Number _____ Contract Amount _____
8. Contract Period of Performance _____

*Remarks on Excellent Performance: Provide data supporting this observation.
(Continue on separate sheet if needed)

** Remarks on Unacceptable Performance: Provide data supporting this observation.
(Continue on separate sheet if needed)

Please submit completed evaluation to Arad.Rahimi@dc.gov

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES**



RATING GUIDELINES

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions as guidance in making these evaluations.

	Quality Product/Service	Cost Control	Timeless of Performance	Business Relations
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Compliance with contract requirements -Accuracy of reports -Appropriateness of personnel -Technical excellence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Within budget (over/under target costs) -Current, accurate, and complete billings -Relationship of negated costs to actual -Cost efficiencies -Change order issue 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Meet Interim milestones -Reliable -Responsive to technical directions -Completed on time, including wrap-up and contract administration -No liquidated damages assessed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Effective management -Businesslike correspondence -Responsive to contract requirements -Prompt notification of contract problems -Reasonable/cooperative -Flexible -Pro-active -effective contractor recommended solutions -Effective snail/small disadvantaged business Subcontracting program
0. Zero	Nonconformances are comprises the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources	Cost issues are comprising performance of contract requirements.	Delays are comprising the achievement of contract requirements, Despite use of Agency resources.	Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is not effective and responsive.
1, Unacceptable	Nonconformances require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Cost issues require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Delays require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is marginally effective and responsive.
2. Poor	Nonconformance require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Costs issues require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive.
3. Acceptable	Nonconformances do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Delays do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Responses to inquires, technical/ service/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive.
4. Good	There are no quality problems.	There are no cost issues.	There are not delays.	Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is effective and responsive,
5. Excellent	The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in some or all of the above categories.			