

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES**

**DESIGN BUILD SERVICES FOR  
ST ELIZABETHS EAST CAMPUS  
STAGE 1 PHASE 1 INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS**

**Solicitation #: DCAM-16-CS-0084**

**Addendum No. 4  
Issued: March 28, 2016**

---

This Addendum No. 4 is being issued on March 28, 2016. Except as modified hereby, the Request for Proposals (“RFP”) remains unmodified.

**Item #1: Response to RFI questions:**

**Please see Exhibit 1**

**Supporting Documentation:**

**Exhibit 1- RFI Responses**

**Exhibit 2- Haley and Aldrich Soil Investment and Management Plan**

**Exhibit 3- Haley and Aldrich Phase II Environmental Site Assessment**

**Exhibit 4- Temporary Power East Campus**

**Exhibit 5- Existing Steam Tunnels**

- End of Addendum No. 4-

**Exhibit 1-**  
**RFI Responses**

ST. Elizabeth Phase 1 Addendum # 4 Questions and Answers	
Question	Answer
1. In Section D.4.2 - Design Manager is one of the key personnel required for this project. Since the successful Design-Builder(Offeror) will be assigned the Engineer's contract from the Department (CH2M's contract with DGS), will CH2M provide the Design Manager and associated fees for the design services? Also, will CH2M be talking with potential offerors during the bidding process, since Designer's input is critical toward this Technical Proposal and the Project schedule?	Yes, CH2M will provide the Design Manager and associated fees. This will be part of an addendum prior to due date for proposals. No, CH2M will not be talking with potential offerors during the bidding process except in relation to the CH2M contract.
2. The Tax Affidavit ( Attachment F) is listed in both the Technical Proposal Attachments (E.4.1.8) and the Price Proposal (E.4.2.3). Please clarify where the original affidavit should be included, or if two originals are required.	Please see Addendum 2.
3. Since the successful Design-Builder(Offeror) will be assigned the Engineer's contract from the Department (CH2M's contract with DGS), can we get a copy of the Engineer's contract with the Department?	Yes.
4. On Construction Plan sheet BD-2 (Building Demolition Plan -2), there is a note on Building 129. It says " Building 129 is a substation feeding power to other areas, with power coming from a new substation. The contractor shall reroute all active services to the new substation." Can you please identify the building this substation serves and the location of the new substation, so that we can get an accurate quantity of the ductbanks that need to be relocated?	See attached temporary power plans for power lines (Exhibit 4) in the area of building 129.
5. Will the preference points to be provided to certified business enterprises (as established and set forth in the DC Code, §2-218.43, as amended through last year, 2015) be adjusted to a 100 point scale, as opposed to the current 200 point scale?	No, District law does not require a 100 point scale in the evaluation of responses to RFPs.
6. Is it possible to get an expected approval time frame from DDOT, DC Water, Pepco and other stakeholder reviewing agencies for construction documents? We understand that the Design-Builder is expected to work with the Engineer to get these approvals (once NTP-1 is issued), but without a direct dialogue with the engineer, its hard to see exactly where the progress of these documents are. Is it possible that the design work packages would be divided in to smaller sections, like Demolition, E&S Control, Grading, Utilities, Roadway, Etc., so that the construction can begin on or before July 31, 2016. This information of design approvals and permits will help us create a realistic detailed schedule.	Review and approval times for stakeholders and utility companies are in the draft MOU's in the RIDS. Smaller packages for construction would be considered acceptable as long as the DOE drainage approval and DCRA permit can be obtained.
7. In Section E.4.1 - Technical Proposal, the page limit identified for Technical proposal is not to exceed 40 pages. Sections E.4.1.1 (Executive Summary) and Section E.4.1.2 (General Team Information and Firm(s) Data) are not being weighed as per Section D. So is the 40 page limit for sections that get points only, i.e. Section E.4.1.3 , E.4.1.4, E.4.1.5, E.4.1.6 and E.4.1.7? Or 40 page limit includes E 4.1.1 and E4.1.2 as well? Please clarify.	40 page limit does not include sections E 4.1.1 and E 4.1.2. Offerors are requested to keep submittals clear and concise and as short as practical.
8. Section C.5.8.2.1 Mandatory Scope – Scope of Work Description for Site Utility Demolition: Steam tunnels are identified. Is HAZMAT abatement and demolition required only for steam tunnels that are encountered within the Phase I ROW? If so, can DGS/DDOT provide information regarding the locations, diameters and depths of the steam tunnels in the ROW?	Existing steam tunnel plans are provided as Exhibit 5 to this addendum. Abatement and demolition is covered in the technical provisions and includes removal at least 20 feet outside the ROW as indicated in the technical provisions and on the site demolition plans.
9. The Final Environmental Assessment (June 2012) and other environmental documents identify numerous underground storage tanks (USTs) and above ground storage tanks (ASTs) on the East campus. UST and AST closure are not identified in Section C.5.8.2.1 Mandatory Scope. Do any USTs or ASTs fall within the limits of disturbance, and if so, are they to be closed/removed?	None known at this time per phase 1 ESA Report included in RFP. If they are encountered they will need to be properly removed. This, should it happen, would represent a changed condition and be handled via a change order.
10. We recognize that the Contractor is responsible for the investigation and remediation of contaminated soil that is encountered during excavation in the ROW. What is the contractor's responsibility related to contaminated soil that may extend outside the limits of the ROW?	The contractor is responsible for the investigation and remediation of contaminated soil <b>within the limits of disturbance</b> whether the disturbance occurs within or outside the future ROW limits.
11. Boring logs have been provided for numerous geotechnical and GeoProbe borings drilled by Haley and Aldrich in September 2012, December 2015 and January 2016. The boring logs identify that photo-ionization detector (PID) readings were recorded for soil recovered from these borings. Were soil samples collected from soil intervals with elevated PID readings and submitted to a laboratory for environmental analysis to determine potential contaminant levels? If so, can the environmental lab reports be provided?	H&A to supply answer
12. Does DGS/DDOT have environmental requirements for imported fill? If so, what level and frequency of environmental testing is required for imported fill?	All fill, including imported fill used on the Project shall meet the requirements set forth in the DDOT Standard Specifications for Highways and Structures.
13. What DOE regulations or guidance will DGS/DDOT rely upon to determine acceptable limits of contamination in excavated soil that the Contractor wishes to reuse onsite?	Attached are the reports related to contamination in the existing soils.
14. Since the project is in DDOT's ROW, would the contractor be required to obtain public space permits and pay the fees also?	No public space permits will be required. The District will supply the Design Builder written "right of entry" for all work within the limits of disturbance including future ROW areas until the ROW is dedicated at the completion of the stage 1 phase 1 construction.

**Exhibit 2-**  
**Haley and Aldrich Soil Investment and Management Plan**  
**(File was uploaded separately)**

**Exhibit 3-**  
**Haley and Aldrich Phase II Environmental Site Assessment**  
**(File was uploaded separately)**

**Exhibit 4-**  
**Temporary Power East Campus**  
**(File was uploaded separately)**

**Exhibit 5-**  
**Existing Steam Tunnels**  
**(File was uploaded separately)**