

DCAM-22-CS-RFP-0022

**DESIGN BUILD SERVICES  
JEFFERSON FIELD – NEW TURF FIELD RENOVATION**

**PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM**  
(Check appropriate box)

**OFFEROR** \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Performance Elements</b>	<b>Excellent</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Acceptable</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Unacceptable</b>
<b>Quality of Services/ Work</b>					
<b>Timeliness of Performance</b>					
<b>Cost Control</b>					
<b>Business Relations</b>					
<b>Customer Satisfaction</b>					

1. Name and Title of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Signature of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Name of Organization: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Telephone Number of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_
- E-mail address of Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_
5. State type of service received: \_\_\_\_\_
6. State Contract Number, Amount and Period of Performance \_\_\_\_\_
7. Remarks on Excellent Performance: Provide data supporting this observation. Continue on separate sheet if needed)
8. Remarks on unacceptable performance: Provide data supporting this observation. (Continue on separate sheet if needed)

## RATING GUIDELINES

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions for guidance in making these evaluations.

	<b>Quality Product/Service</b>	<b>Cost Control</b>	<b>Timeless of Performance</b>	<b>Business Relations</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Compliance with contract requirements</li> <li>-Accuracy of reports</li> <li>-Appropriateness of personnel</li> <li>-Technical excellence</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Within budget (over/ under target costs)</li> <li>-Current, accurate, and complete billings</li> <li>-Relationship of negated costs to actual</li> <li>-Cost efficiencies</li> <li>-Change order issue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Meet Interim milestones</li> <li>-Reliable</li> <li>-Responsive to technical directions</li> <li>-Completed on time, including wrap-up and contract administration</li> <li>-No liquidated damages assessed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Effective management</li> <li>-Businesslike correspondence</li> <li>-Responsive to contract requirements</li> <li>-Prompt notification of contract problems</li> <li>-Reasonable/cooperative</li> <li>-Flexible</li> <li>-Pro-active</li> <li>-effective contractor recommended solutions</li> <li>-Effective snail/small disadvantaged business Subcontracting program</li> </ul>
<b>0. Zero</b>	Nonconformances are comprises the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources	Cost issues are comprising performance of contract requirements.	Delays are comprising the achievement of contract requirements, Despite use of Agency resources.	Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is not effective and responsive.
<b>1. Unacceptable</b>	Nonconformances require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Cost issues require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Delays require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is marginally effective and responsive.
<b>2. Poor</b>	Nonconformances require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Costs issues require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.	Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive.
<b>3. Acceptable</b>	Nonconformances do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Delays do not impact achievement of contract requirements.	Responses to inquires, technical/ service/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive.
<b>4. Good</b>	There are no quality problems.	There are no cost issues.	There are not delays.	Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is effective and responsive,
<b>5. Excellent</b>	The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in some or all of the above categories.			