DCAM-22-CS-RFP-0007
DESIGN BUILD SERVICES
ADA Upgrades for 4 DPR Facilities -Package 3 (Trinidad, Bald Eagle, and Fort Stanton)
PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM
(Check appropriate box)

## OFFEROR

$\qquad$

| Performance <br> Elements | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Poor | Unacceptable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quality of Services/ <br> Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timeliness of <br> Performance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Control |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business <br> Relations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer <br> Satisfaction |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Name and Title of Evaluator:
2. Signature of Evaluator: $\qquad$
3. Name of Organization: $\qquad$
4. Telephone Number of Evaluator: $\qquad$
E-mail address of Evaluator: $\qquad$
5. State type of service received: $\qquad$
6. State Contract Number, Amount and Period of Performance $\qquad$
7. Remarks on Excellent Performance: Provide data supporting this observation. Continue on separate sheet if needed)
8. Remarks on unacceptable performance: Provide data supporting this observation. (Continue on separate sheet if needed)

## RATING GUIDELINES

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions for guidance in making these evaluations.
Quality
Product/Service
-Compliance with
contract requirements
-Accuracy of reports
-Appropriateness of
personnel
--echnical excellence

| Cost Control | Timeless <br> of Performance |
| :---: | :--- |
| -Within budget (over/ | -Meet Interim milestones |
| under target costs) | -Reliable |
| -Current, accurate, and | -Responsive to technical |
| complete billings | directions |
| -Relationship of negated | -Completed on time, |
| coscluding wrap-up and |  |
| -Cost efficiencies | -contract administration |
| -Change order issue | -No liquidated damages |
|  | assessed |


| 0. Zero | Nonconformances are comprises <br> the achievement of contract <br> requirements, despite use of <br> Agency resources |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Unacceptable | Nonconformances require major <br> Agency resources to ensure <br> achievement of contract <br> requirements. |
| 2. Poor | Nonconformances require minor <br> Agency resources to ensure <br> achievement of contract <br> requirements. |
| 3. Acceptable | Nonconformances do not impact <br> achievement of contract <br> requirements. |
| 4. Good | There are no quality problems. |


| Cost issues are comprising | Delays are comprising |
| :--- | :--- |
| performance of contract | the achievement of contract |
| requirements. | requirements, Despite use |

Cost issues require major Delays require major Agency resources to ensure Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements.

Costs issues require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements

Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements.

There are no cost issues.

Reliable directions Completed on time, ontract administration assessed

## Business <br> Relations

-Effective management
-Businesslike correspondence
-Responsive to contract requirements
-Prompt notification of contract problems
-Reasonable/cooperative
-Flexible
-Pro-active -effective contractor
recommended solutions
-Effective snail/small disadvantaged business Subcontracting program
Business
Relations
-Effective management
-Businesslike correspondence
-Responsive to contract
requirements
-Prompt notification of contract
problems
-Reasonable/cooperative
-Flexible
-Pro-active
-effective contractor
recommended solutions
Effective snail/small
disadvantaged business
Subcontracting program

Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is not effective and responsive.
response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is marginally effective and responsive.

Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive.

Responses to inquires, technical/ service/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive.

Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is effective and responsive,
5. Excellent The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in some or all of the above categories.

