

DCAM-19-NC-RFP-0005 Attachment J.17 PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

| Offeror Name: | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Performance Element (See Description p. 2) | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Poor | Unacceptable** | |
| Quality of Services/ | | | | | | |
| Work | | | | | | |
| Timeliness of | | | | | | |
| Performance | | | | | | |
| Cost | | | | | | |
| Control | | | | | | |
| Business | | | | | | |
| Relations | | | | | | |
| Customer | | | | | | |
| Satisfaction | | | | | | |
| Name of Evaluati | ing Organizatio | on: | | | | |
| 2. Name & Title of E | Evaluator: | | | | | |
| 3. Telephone Numb | er of Evaluato | r: | | _ | | |
| 4. E-mail address o | f Evaluator: | | | | | |
| 5. Signature of Eval | | Date: | | | | |
| 6. Describe type of | service receive | ed: | | | | |
| 7. Contract Number | . Contract Number | | | Contract Amount | | |
| 8. Contract Period of | of Performance |) | | | | |
| *Remarks on Excelle | ent Performance: | Provide data : | supporting this obser | vation. | | |

(Continue on separate sheet if needed)

^{**} Remarks on Unacceptable Performance: Provide data supporting this observation. (Continue on separate sheet if needed)

RATING GUIDELINES

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions as guidance in making these evaluations.

| | Quality Product/Service | Cost Control | Timeless of Performance | Business Relations |
|-----------------|--|--|---|--|
| | -Compliance with contract requirements -Accuracy of reports -Appropriateness of personnel -Technical excellence | -Within budget (over/ under target costs) -Current, accurate, and complete billings -Relationship of negated costs to actual -Cost efficiencies -Change order issue | -Meet Interim milestones -Reliable -Responsive to technical directions -Completed on time, including wrap-up and -contract administration -No liquidated damages assessed | -Effective management -Businesslike correspondence -Responsive to contract requirements -Prompt notification of contract problems -Reasonable/cooperative -Flexible -Pro-active -effective contractor recommended solutions -Effective snail/small disadvantaged business Subcontracting program |
| 0. Zero | Nonconformances are comprises the achievement of contract requirements, despite use of Agency resources | Cost issues are comprising performance of contract requirements. | Delays are comprising the achievement of contract requirements, Despite use of Agency resources. | Response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is not effective and responsive. |
| 1, Unacceptable | Nonconformances require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Cost issues require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Delays require major Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | response to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is marginally effective and responsive. |
| 2. Poor | Nonconformance require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Costs issues require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Delays require minor Agency resources to ensure achievement of contract requirements. | Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is somewhat effective and responsive. |
| 3. Acceptable | Nonconformances do not impact achievement of contract requirements. | Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements. | Delays do not impact achievement of contract requirements. | Responses to inquires, technical/ service/administrative issues is usually effective and responsive. |
| 4. Good | There are no quality problems. | There are no cost issues. | There are not delays. | Responses to inquiries, technical/ service/administrative issues is effective and responsive, |
| 5. Excellent | The contractor has demonstrated an | exceptional performance level in | some or all of the above cate | gories. |

Page 2 of 2