## Attachment K

Past Performance Evaluation Form



## DCAM-15-CS-0112 Attachment K PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

| Offeror* or Subcontractor** | Name: |  |
|-----------------------------|-------|--|
|                             |       |  |

| Performance Element (See Description p. 2)  | Excellent•     | Good | Acceptable  | Poor    | Unacceptable••• |  |
|---|----------------|------|-------------|---------|-----------------|--|
| Quality of Services/  |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Work  |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Timeliness of   |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Performance   |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Cost  |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Control   |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Business  |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Relations   |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Customer  |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Satisfaction  |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Name of Evaluating Organization:  |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| 2. Name & Title of Evaluator:   |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| 3. Telephone Numb   | er of Evaluato | r:   |             | _       |                 |  |
| 4. E-mail address of  | Evaluator:     |      |             |         |                 |  |
| 5. Signature of Eval  | uator:         |      |             | Date: _ |                 |  |
| 6. Describe type of service received:   |                |      |             |         |                 |  |
| 7, Contract Number  |                |      | Contract Am | ount    |                 |  |
| 8. Contract Period o  | f Performance  |      |             |         |                 |  |
| Remarks on Excellent Performance: Provide data supporting this observation.  (Continue on separate sheet if needed) |                |      |             |         |                 |  |

-- Remarks on Unacceptable Performance: Provide data supporting this observation.

(Continue on separate sheet if needed)

## **RATING GUIDELINES**

Summarize Contractor performance in each of the rating areas. Assign each area a rating of 0 (Unacceptable), 1 (Poor), 2 (Acceptable), 3 (Good), 4(Excellent), or ++ (Plus). Use the following instructions as guidance in making these evaluations.

|                 | Quality<br>Product/Service   | Cost Control   | Timeless of Performance   | Business<br>Relations  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|                 | -Compliance with contract requirements -Accuracy of reports -Appropriateness of personnel -Technical excellence  | -Within budget (over/<br>under target costs)<br>-Current, accurate, and<br>complete billings<br>-Relationship of negated<br>costs to actual<br>-Cost efficiencies<br>-Change order issue | -Meet Interim milestones -Reliable -Responsive to technical directions -Completed on time, including wrap-up and -contract administration -No liquidated damages assessed | -Effective management -Businesslike correspondence -Responsive to contract requirements -Prompt notification of contract problems -Reasonable/cooperative -Flexible -Pro-active -effective contractor recommended solutions -Effective snail/small disadvantaged business Subcontracting program |  |  |  |
| 0. Zero         | Nonconformances are comprises<br>the achievement of contract<br>requirements, despite use of<br>Agency resources | Cost issues are comprising performance of contract requirements.   | Delays are comprising<br>the achievement of contract<br>requirements, Despite use<br>of Agency resources.   | Response to inquiries, technical/<br>service/administrative issues is<br>not effective and responsive.   |  |  |  |
| 1, Unacceptable | Nonconformances require major<br>Agency resources to ensure<br>achievement of contract<br>requirements.          | Cost issues require major<br>Agency resources to ensure<br>achievement of contract<br>requirements.  | Delays require major<br>Agency resources to ensure<br>achievement of contract<br>requirements.  | response to inquiries, technical/<br>service/administrative issues is<br>marginally effective and<br>responsive.   |  |  |  |
| 2. Poor         | Nonconformance require minor<br>Agency resources to ensure<br>achievement of contract<br>requirements.           | Costs issues require minor<br>Agency resources to ensure<br>achievement of contract<br>requirements.   | Delays require minor<br>Agency resources to ensure<br>achievement of contract<br>requirements.  | Responses to inquiries, technical/<br>service/administrative issues is<br>somewhat effective and<br>responsive.  |  |  |  |
| 3. Acceptable   | Nonconformances do not impact achievement of contract requirements.  | Cost issues do not impact achievement of contract requirements.  | Delays do not impact achievement of contract requirements.  | Responses to inquires, technical/<br>service/administrative issues is<br>usually effective and responsive.   |  |  |  |
| 4. Good         | There are no quality problems.   | There are no cost issues.  | There are not delays.   | Responses to inquiries, technical/<br>service/administrative issues is<br>effective and responsive,  |  |  |  |
| 5. Excellent    | The contractor has demonstrated an exceptional performance level in some or all of the above categories.         |  |   |  |  |  |  |

\*Offeror shall ensure that a minimum of three (3) Past Performance Evaluation forms (Attachment K) are completed and submitted on behalf of the Contractor directly to James Marshall at <a href="mailto:james.marshall@dc.gov">james.marshall@dc.gov</a> by the due date for proposals.

\*\*Offeror shall ensure that a minimum of two (2) Past Performance Evaluation forms (Attachment K) are completed and included in the Contractor's proposal for each proposed subcontractor, as applicable.